Los Angeles Convention Center (LACC) Renovation and Expansion Project

Task Order Solicitation No. 0001 (Work Order No. E190777A)

This addendum consists of this three-page transmittal containing clarifications and questions arising from the First Review Meetings held on March 9 & 10, 2015.

Consultants are hereby notified of the following changes (highlighted in yellow for clarity), clarifications and answers:

I. MODIFICATIONS TO TASK ORDER SOLICITATION:
   No changes.

II. MODIFICATIONS TO COMPETITION BRIEF:
    No changes

III. MODIFICATIONS TO ATTACHMENTS 1-9:
     No changes.

IV. MISCELLANEOUS:
    1. Questions:

   A. Please provide clarifications on the focus for the April Review Meetings.

   Answer: At the April Review Meetings, each team must clearly present a design solution that encompasses the program as provided by the City, and that can be built for $350 M, with no reduction in the Convention Center’s existing parking inventory. This design solution must be able to stand alone, and cannot be dependent on any future proposed development or on any additional funding being identified. Each team must provide a fully populated Project Budget in the format provided by the City for the design solution the team has developed to encompass the City’s program.

   The teams can propose future development opportunities beyond the $350 M (a long term master plan), but these opportunities need to be clearly distinguished from the base $350 M program.

   Please refer to Page 2 of Addendum 6, that stated, “The current renovation and expansion design investigation should target 2,000 net additional parking spaces to be included in the massing diagrams, though the construction of the parking
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will be by others. The parking should be massed within the boundaries of the
Convention Center property as shown on page 4 of Addendum 5, but located as
structurally separate from the convention center renovation and expansion so the
parking could be built in a separate construction project.”

Attached is a revised Project Budget format (Rev. 1 Date: 03.16.2015), with a
formula correction for cell E60, so that all costs below are calculated as a
percentage of the Design Team Project Budget. In addition, cell B76 is edited to
read 2,000 vehicles.

The focus of the April Review Meetings will be on:
  a. Refinement of the $350 M proposed design in drawings.
  b. The fully populated Project Budget that aligns with the $350 M
     proposed design.
  c. A proposed phasing plan for construction that relates to the proposed
     design and the team’s proposed Project Budget.
  d. A refined long term master plan.

B. What are the schedules for the next two presentations?

Answer: Based on the order of presentations from the First Review Meetings, the Second
Review Meetings and the Design Team Principal Briefing for City Project Team
will rotate as follows:

Second Review Meeting – Public Works Building
1. April 7, 2015 from 1:00 pm – 2:30 pm – A C Martin/LMN in SB6
2. April 7, 2015 from 3:00 pm – 4:30 pm – HMC/Populous in SB7
3. April 8, 2015 from 1:30 pm – 3:00 pm – Gensler/Lehrer in SB6

As before, you will be allowed access to your presentation room thirty minutes
prior to your scheduled presentation time and have thirty minutes afterwards to
break down.

Design Team Principal Briefing for City Project Team – Location TBD
1. May 18, 2015 from 3:00 pm – 4:30 pm – HMC/Populous
2. May 19, 2015 from 1:00 pm – 2:30 pm – Gensler/Lehrer
3. May 19, 2015 from 3:15 pm – 4:45 pm – A C Martin/LMN

All Design Team Principal Briefing presentations must be delivered and set up by
12:00 pm on Monday May 18, 2015.
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C. Can a Construction Manager (CM) be engaged for the Competition and still be allowed to participate in the actual construction project?  

**Answer:** Yes. Since this is a conceptual design competition, the actual resulting construction project will vary enough from the conceptual designs to permit a CM who is involved in this phase to propose as a team member during project execution.  

Sincerely,  
Deborah Weintraub, AIA, LEED.AP  
Chief Deputy City Engineer  
Bureau of Engineering  
Department of Public Works