agenda

1. Introductions

2. Design Team Presentation
   1. Introduce Design Team
   2. Review Master Plan process and schedule
   3. Define Goals and Objectives of Working Group

3. Community Workshop #1 & Stakeholder Participation
   1. Workshop #1 Agenda
   2. Stakeholder Presentations

4. MP Research / Stakeholder Feedback / Input (Open Discussion)

5. Next Steps
DESIGN TEAM & STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP
hargreaves experience

over 30 waterfront projects
over 23 water bodies
master planning through implementation

Anacostia River, Washington DC
Arkansas River, Little Rock
Biscayne Bay, Miami Beach
Cumberland River, Nashville
Detroit River, Detroit
Delaware River, Philadelphia
Guadalupe River, San Jose
Jamaica Bay, New York
James River, Richmond
Haihe River, Tianjin
Homebush Bay, Sydney Olympic Park
LA River, Los Angeles
Scissortail Park, Oklahoma

Mississippi River, Baton Rouge, Davenport & New Orleans
Ohio River, Louisville, Cincinnati & Pittsburgh
River Lea, London Olympic Park
Roaches Run, Arlington
Tennessee River, Chattanooga & Knoxville
Three Rivers, Pittsburgh
Trinity River, Dallas & Fort Worth
San Diego Bay, San Diego
San Francisco Bay, San Francisco
South Lake Union, Seattle
Willamette River, Portland
QUEEN ELIZABETH OLYMPIC PARK
London, UK

SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK
Sydney, AUS

LOUISVILLE WATERFRONT PARK
Nashville, TN
master plan process

what is?  what if?  what wows?  what works?

planning  research + synthesis  brainstorming  design alternates  preferred master plan

community engagement #1  community engagement #2  community engagement #3 & 4  community engagement #5  community engagement #6

FOR STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP PURPOSES ONLY
master plan process

[Diagram showing timeline and tasks]
our plan

SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #1

5 stakeholder working group meetings
6 large community workshops
2 pop-up events / 3 online surveys
**stakeholder working group**

- Comprised of 1-2 members each of five groups:
  - Silver Lake Neighborhood Council
  - Silver Lake Reservoirs Conservancy
  - Silver Lake Forward
  - Silver Lake Now
  - Silver Lake Wildlife Sanctuary

- 5 meetings throughout the process (Thursdays 10-11:30am)
  - May 22
  - July 18
  - Sept 26
  - Dec 5
  - Apr 2020
stakeholder working group

Role:
• Leaders in community
• Debriefs and previews
• Sounding board

Ground Rules:
• transparent / 2-way communication
• Neighborhood points of view are well represented
  • everyone is heard, all issues / variables vetted and explored
stakeholder working group

Communication Protocol:

- Marc Salette (managing principal Chee Salette) is design team liaison to SWG
- majority of communication to happen during meetings
- email Marc with clarification questions or feedback
- comment on agenda one week before upcoming SWG meeting
Typical SWG Meeting Agenda:

• (30-45 mins) Debrief on community engagement and Master Plan status

• (15-30 mins) Next Steps – upcoming community workshops & Master Plan development

• (15-30 mins) Updates on community issues, questions, and concerns
COMMUNITY WORKSHOP #1
Community workshop #1

Stakeholder Working Group is co-presenter

Agenda:

6:00-6:30 (30 mins) Arrive

6:30-6:35 (5 mins) Welcome & Introductions (BOE/DWP)

6:35-6:55 (20 mins) Design Team Presentation (HAR)

6:55-7:05 (10 mins) Stakeholder Group Presentations

7:05-7:10 (5 mins) – Break –

7:10-7:55 (45 mins) Engagement Activity
  • Defining characteristics of reservoir complex
  • How currently used / your experience of the reservoir complex

7:55-8:00 (5 mins) Next Steps
Community workshop #1

Stakeholder Working Group presentations:

• 1-2 slides for each organization
  o organization mission & history
  o organization key priorities for reservoir complex
  o contact information
research questions

• what are appropriate levels of active and passive uses for the complex?

• what are the community needs? What are the wildlife needs?

• how do we balance wildlife and people?

• how do we sustain water levels in the reservoirs?

• how do we define the character of the reservoir from a historic perspective?
site plan status

- project boundary update
site conditions
site conditions
site conditions
possible strategies

At locations with room to cut into the embankment:

- **STRATEGY 1:** EASE SLOPE FOR HABITAT ENHANCEMENT

- **STRATEGY 2:** TERRACE FOR HABITAT ENHANCEMENT AND ACCESS
possible strategies

At tight locations which might need to be filled:

STRATEGY 1: EASE SLOPE FOR HABITAT ENHANCEMENT

STRATEGY 2: TERRACE FOR HABITAT ENHANCEMENT AND ACCESS
SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #1

historic / cultural research
biological research

- Evaluate existing conditions - biological value of site
- Current habitat / species inventory including Federal / state protected species
- Opportunities for new or expanded habitat including new species served and requirements
- Criteria for establishing habitat / species goals
- Species risks / threats
- Water quality needs and criteria for habitat creation / support
- Historical ecology – what is the history of the ecology of the site?
• Stormwater and drainage requirements for site
• Expected water quality entering reservoir from stormwater capture project
• Water balance analysis
• Stormwater outfall locations and opportunities to create treatment wetlands
• Recycled / alternate non-potable water sources for the reservoir
• Permitting requirements for dam protected areas
SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #1

precedents

Crissy Field, San Francisco
precedents

Chattanooga Renaissance Park
precedents
Chattanooga Renaissance Park
precedents

Chattanooga Renaissance Park
NEXT STEPS

• HAR / CS to provide presentation template by May 24
• SWG to provide draft presentation to HAR by June 6
• HAR / CS / BOE to provide feedback on SWG slides by June 21
• HAR / TRG to finalize Community Workshop #1 agenda and logistics by June 21 and provide update to SWG