# Overall Community Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Workshop #1</th>
<th>Date: June 27; 6-8pm</th>
<th>APPROX. 220 PARTICIPANTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Workshop #2</td>
<td>Date: August 22; 8-11am</td>
<td>APPROX. 600 PARTICIPANTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Workshop #3</td>
<td>Date: Nov 2; 1-3:30pm</td>
<td>APPROX. 450 PARTICIPANTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Workshop #4</td>
<td>Date: Jan 23; 6-8pm</td>
<td>APPROX. 300 PARTICIPANTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= approx. 1570 participants total
## Overall Community Process

### Community Workshop #1
**Date:** June 27; 6-8pm  
APPROX. 220 PARTICIPANTS

### Community Workshop #2
**Date:** August 22; 8-11am  
APPROX. 600 PARTICIPANTS

### Community Workshop #3
**Date:** Nov 2; 1-3:30pm  
APPROX. 450 PARTICIPANTS

### Community Workshop #4
**Date:** Jan 23; 6-8pm  
APPROX. 300 PARTICIPANTS

---

**SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #7**

**FOR STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP PURPOSES ONLY**

172 responses  
*in-room only*

1,432 responses

2,986 responses

2,966 responses

= 7,556 responses total
CW #4 Questionnaire results

2,966 QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES
CW #4 Questionnaire results

Who participated?

(2,588 people answered this question)

87% of respondents live in a zip code that is within a 2-mile radius.
Your Age?
(2,642 people answered this question)

- **Under 18**: 72 people (3%)
- **19-25**: 79 people (3%)
- **26-35**: 546 people (21%)
- **36-45**: 697 people (26%)
- **46-55**: 578 people (22%)
- **56-65**: 378 people (14%)
- **66+**: 292 people (11%)
CW #4 Questionnaire results

For Stakeholder Working Group Purposes Only

Silver Lake Reservoir Complex Master Plan

Community Workshop #4 Questionnaire

Based on prior community input we have identified the following enhancements for the Master Plan design. Please help us refine & prioritize these by indicating your level of support for each of them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enhancement</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Strongly Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The Embankment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replaces asphalt and concrete seating, boulders, and small seating terraces.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The Promenade (Orange Line)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creates a multi-use loop for walking and jogging around the interior of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex, including shade trees, seating, and planting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The Education Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides spaces for classes, volunteer opportunities, community gathering,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as well as restrooms and a potential snack bar.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Upland Habitat (Knoll &amp; Eucalyptus Grove)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree replanting program as well as ground cover planting to increase habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value at the Eucalyptus Grove and Knoll.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The Knoll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small footpaths leading to a shade structure at the top of the Knoll which</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can be used as an outdoor classroom.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Great &amp; Sloped Lawns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generous flat and sloped open lawns with shade trees create flexible spaces for a variety of uses and diverse ways to experience the reservoirs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The picnic Grove, Gardens &amp; Informal Play Walk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic seating under shade trees and drought tolerant gardens are combined with a meandering path and informal play for all ages.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Wetland Habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating islands and wetland terraces provide shallow wading habitat for local and migratory birds and enable the addition of fish to the reservoirs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ivanhoe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An overlook, shade structure, wetland terraces and islands, as well as footpaths to an observation platform create an immersive ecological experience and can be used as an outdoor classroom.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Silver Lake Recreation Center &amp; Dog Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovating and expanding the dog parks, building a new multi-purpose recreation building, and relocating and upgrading the existing play field and basketball court.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of these top 10 enhancements, which one are you most excited about?
CW #4 Questionnaire results

01 THE EMBANKMENT Replaces asphalt and adds planting, boulders, and small seating terraces

- **Paper (at meeting - 229)**
  - Strongly Support: 60%
  - Support: 17%
  - Neutral: 10%
  - Oppose: 1%
  - Strongly Oppose: 10%
  - Total: 77% (177 respondents)

- **Online (2,737)**
  - Strongly Support: 66%
  - Support: 17%
  - Neutral: 6%
  - Oppose: 2%
  - Strongly Oppose: 9%
  - Total: 83% (2274 respondents)

**Combined Results (2,966)**

- Strongly Support: 66%
- Support: 17%
- Neutral: 6%
- Oppose: 2%
- Strongly Oppose: 10%
- Total: 83% (2451 respondents)

**Additional Information**

- SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #7
- CW #4
- Paper (at meeting - 229)
- Online (2,737)
- Total: 2,966 respondents

*FOR STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP PURPOSES ONLY*
**02 THE PROMENADE** Creates a continuous loop for walking and jogging around the interior of the Complex including shade trees, seating, and planting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>Paper (at meeting - 229)</th>
<th>Online (2,737)</th>
<th>Combined Results (2,966)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Support</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Oppose</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Paper results: 76% support, 5% neutral, 18% oppose
- Online results: 85% support, 4% neutral, 12% oppose
- Combined results: 84% support, 4% neutral, 12% oppose
03 THE EDUCATION CENTER  Provides spaces for classes, volunteer opportunities, community gathering, as well as restrooms and a potential snack bar

**CW #4 Questionnaire results**

**Paper (at meeting - 229)**
- Strongly Support: 16%
- Support: 29%
- Neutral: 24%
- Oppose: 8%
- Strongly Oppose: 33%

= 35% (80 respondents)

**Online (2,737)**
- Strongly Support: 10%
- Support: 11%
- Neutral: 16%
- Oppose: 7%
- Strongly Oppose: 20%

= 58% (1589 respondents)

**Combined results (2,966)**
- Strongly Support: 38%
- Support: 18%
- Neutral: 16%
- Oppose: 7%
- Strongly Oppose: 21%

= 56% (1669 respondents)

= 16% (481 respondents)

= 27% (815 respondents)
04 UPLAND HABITAT (KNOLL & EUCALYPTUS GROVE) Tree replanting program as well as ground cover planting to increase habitat value at the Eucalyptus Grove and Knoll

Paper (at meeting - 229)
- Strongly Support: 64% (146 respondents)
- Support: 27% (61 respondents)
- Neutral: 2% (5 respondents)
- Oppose: 2% (5 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 7% (15 respondents)

= 85% (193 respondents)

Online (2,737)
- Strongly Support: 66% (1,793 respondents)
- Support: 7% (201 respondents)
- Oppose: 7% (197 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 5% (156 respondents)

= 81% (2,257 respondents)

Combined Results (2,966)
- Strongly Support: 66% (1,979 respondents)
- Support: 21% (633 respondents)
- Neutral: 7% (210 respondents)
- Oppose: 1% (33 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 5% (158 respondents)

= 87% (2,576 respondents)

= 7% (208 respondents)

= 6% (178 respondents)
05 THE KNOLL  Small footpaths leading to a shade structure at the top of the Knoll which can be used as an outdoor classroom

**CW #4 Questionnaire results**

Paper (at meeting - 229)
- Strongly Support: 56% (50 respondents)
- Support: 17% (120 respondents)
- Neutral: 14% (31 respondents)
- Oppose: 7% (73 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 24% (73 respondents)

Online (2,737)
- Strongly Support: 77% (1679 respondents)
- Support: 17% (478 respondents)
- Neutral: 7% (580 respondents)

**COMBINED RESULTS (2,966)**
- Strongly Support: 40% (1799 respondents)
- Support: 21% (509 respondents)
- Neutral: 17% (509 respondents)
- Oppose: 7% (1799 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 15% (509 respondents)
06 GREAT & SLOPED LAWNS  Generous flat and sloped open lawns with shade trees create flexible spaces for a variety of uses and diverse ways to experience the reservoirs

**CW #4 Questionnaire results**

**Paper (at meeting - 229)**
- Strongly Support: 44%
- Support: 24%
- Neutral: 12%
- Oppose: 4%
- Strongly Oppose: 16%

**Online (2,737)**
- Strongly Support: 52%
- Support: 33%
- Neutral: 10%
- Oppose: 4%
- Strongly Oppose: 11%

**Combined results (2,966)**
- Strongly Support: 51%
- Support: 23%
- Neutral: 10%
- Oppose: 4%
- Strongly Oppose: 12%
07 THE PICNIC GROVE, GARDENS & INFORMAL PLAY WALK Picnic seating under shade trees and drought tolerant gardens are combined with a meandering path and informal play for all ages.

CW #4 Questionnaire results

Within the CW #4 Questionnaire results, there were two main survey methods: paper and online. For the purpose of this meeting, the weighted results were combined.

**Paper Survey:**
- Strongly Support: 55% (150 respondents)
- Support: 27% (24 respondents)
- Neutral: 10%
- Oppose: 7%
- Strongly Oppose: 15%
- Total: 66% (150 respondents)

**Online Survey:**
- Strongly Support: 48% (1927 respondents)
- Support: 23%
- Neutral: 12%
- Oppose: 5%
- Strongly Oppose: 15%
- Total: 71% (1927 respondents)

**Combined Results:**
- Strongly Support: 47% (2077 respondents)
- Support: 23%
- Neutral: 12%
- Oppose: 5%
- Strongly Oppose: 18%
- Total: 70% (2077 respondents)
08 WETLAND HABITAT  Floating islands and wetland terraces provide shallow wading habitat for local and migratory birds and enable the addition of fish to the reservoirs.

**CW #4 Questionnaire results**

**Paper (at meeting - 229)**
- Strongly Support: 59% (183 respondents)
- Support: 21% (20 respondents)
- Neutral: 9% (23 respondents)
- Oppose: 2% (23 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 8% (23 respondents)

**Online (2,737)**
- Strongly Support: 65% (227 respondents)
- Support: 17% (275 respondents)
- Neutral: 10% (275 respondents)
- Oppose: 2% (275 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 6% (275 respondents)

**Combined Results (2,966)**
- Strongly Support: 64% (2430 respondents)
- Support: 18% (295 respondents)
- Neutral: 10% (295 respondents)
- Oppose: 2% (295 respondents)
- Strongly Oppose: 8% (238 respondents)
09 IVANHOE An overlook, shade structure, wetland terraces and islands, as well as footpaths to an observation platform create an immersive ecological experience and can be used as an outdoor classroom.

**CW #4 Questionnaire results**

**Paper (at meeting - 229)**

- Strongly Support: 55%
- Support: 22%
- Neutral: 16%
- Oppose: 7%
- Strongly Oppose: 19%

**Online (2,737)**

- Strongly Support: 45%
- Support: 23%
- Neutral: 12%
- Oppose: 6%
- Strongly Oppose: 14%

**Combined results (2,966)**

- Strongly Support: 45%
- Support: 23%
- Neutral: 12%
- Oppose: 6%
- Strongly Oppose: 14%

= 68% (2004 respondents)

= 12% (370 respondents)

= 20% (589 respondents)
CW #4 Questionnaire results

10 SILVER LAKE RECREATION CENTER & DOG PARK Renovating and expanding the dog parks, building a new multi-purpose recreation building, and relocating and upgrading the existing play field and basketball court

Paper (at meeting - 229)
- Strongly Support: 42%
- Support: 27%
- Neutral: 15%
- Oppose: 6%
- Strongly Oppose: 9%

= 67% (152 respondents)

Online (2,737)
- Strongly Support: 47%
- Support: 24%
- Neutral: 16%
- Oppose: 6%
- Strongly Oppose: 8%

= 71% (1943 respondents)

Combined results (2,966)
- Strongly Support: 47%
- Support: 24%
- Neutral: 16%
- Oppose: 6%
- Strongly Oppose: 8%

= 71% (2095 respondents)

= 16% (467 respondents)

= 13% (397 respondents)
QUESTIONS 1 – 10 OVERALL combined results (2,966)

04 Upland Habitat
- Support: 87.0% (2576)
- Neutral: 6.0% (178)
- Oppose: 7.0% (208)

02 Promenade
- Support: 83.8% (2484)
- Neutral: 12.0% (366)
- Oppose: 4.2% (114)

01 Embankment
- Support: 82.8% (2451)
- Neutral: 11.6% (343)
- Oppose: 5.6% (167)

08 Wetland Habitat
- Support: 82.0% (2430)
- Neutral: 8.0% (238)
- Oppose: 10.0% (295)

06 Lawn
- Support: 74.1% (2198)
- Neutral: 15.0% (457)
- Oppose: 10.9% (310)

10 Rec Center
- Support: 70.8% (2095)
- Neutral: 13.0% (397)
- Oppose: 16.2% (467)

07 Picnic Grove
- Support: 70.1% (2077)
- Neutral: 18.1% (537)
- Oppose: 11.7% (348)

09 Ivanhoe
- Support: 67.6% (2004)
- Neutral: 19.9% (589)
- Oppose: 12.5% (370)

05 The Knoll
- Support: 60.8% (1799)
- Neutral: 22.1% (653)
- Oppose: 17.2% (509)

03 Ed Center
- Support: 56.3% (1669)
- Neutral: 27.5% (815)
- Oppose: 16.2% (481)

FOR STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP PURPOSES ONLY
SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #7

CW #4 Questionnaire results

QUESTION 11 – WHAT ARE YOU MOST EXCITED ABOUT?

- The Promenade (Orange Line): 1,204 (20.5%)
- Wetland Habitat: 814 (16.9%)
- The Embankment: 700 (14.5%)
- Upland Habitat: 547 (11.3%)
- Recreation Center & Dog Park: 499 (10.3%)
- Great & Sloped Lawns: 375 (7.7%)
- The Picnic Grove, Gardens & Plaza: 335 (6.9%)
- The Education Center: 247 (5.1%)
- The Knoll: 212 (4.4%)
- Ivanhoe: 119 (2.46%)

FOR STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP PURPOSES ONLY
CW #4 Questionnaire results

Based on your input throughout the Master Plan process we developed six Key Goals or themes for the design. Please indicate how well the Preferred Plan addresses these goals.

A. ENJOYING NATURE
With the promenade, overlooks, small seating terraces along the embankment at habitat areas, and the enhanced and expanded upland and waterbird/mission areas, the preferred plan offers the right balance between connecting people with nature and providing protected habitat.

B. WELLNESS
With the promenade, walking paths and trails, sloped and flat lawns, and expanded recreation center, the preferred plan offers the right amount of open, flexible space to promote wellness-based activities.

C. FAMILY FRIENDLY
The picnic groves, accessible lawns, visitor center (at education facility), restrooms, informal play with the expanded Recreation Center in the preferred plan provide the right amount of spaces for all ages.

D. EDUCATION
The single education facility with indoor / outdoor classroom spaces plus the shade structures and observation platforms which can serve as outdoor classrooms included in the preferred plan are the right amount of education facilities for the park.

E. COMMUNITY GATHERING
The generous, flexible lawns (which can be used for occasional large events), variety of seating areas throughout the park, and centrally located education facility in the preferred plan are an appropriate combination of places to meet and connect with friends and neighbors.

F. WATER ACCESS
With the sloped lawn, seating terraces, and small walkways to observation platforms and floating dock, the preferred plan offers the right amount and variety of opportunities to enjoy the water.

TO BE ON OUR PROJECT MAILING LIST & KEEP UP-TO-DATE ON THIS PROJECT, SIGN UP BELOW:

Name:
E-mail:

Please visit https://eng.lacity.org/slrcmp-home to learn more about the project and to stay involved!

#SLRCEMP #SilverLakeReservoirs

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING IN COMMUNITY WORKSHOP #4, WE LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS!
QUESTION 12 – ENJOYING NATURE

With the promenade, overlooks, small seating terraces along the embankment at habitat areas and the enhanced and expanded upland and wetland habitats areas, the preferred plan offers the right balance between connecting people with nature and providing protected habitat.

paper (at meeting - 229)

- Too Much: 21% (41)
- Just Right: 66% (130)
- Not Enough: 11%
- No Objection: 3%

online (2,737)

- Too Much: 19% (502)
- Just Right: 64% (1656)
- Not Enough: 8%
- No Objection: 8%

combined results (2,996)

- Too Much: 20% (543)
- Just Right: 64% (1786)
- Not Enough: 9%
- No Objection: 8%
QUESTION 13 – WELLNESS With the promenade, walking paths and trails, sloped and flat lawns, and expanded recreation center, the preferred plan offers the right amount of open, flexible space to promote wellness-based activities.

Paper (at meeting - 229)

- Too Much: 22% (44)
- Just Right: 69% (138)
- Not Enough: 4%
- No Objection: 5%

Online (2,737)

- Too Much: 20% (510)
- Just Right: 65% (1691)
- Not Enough: 6%
- No Objection: 9%

Combined Results (2,966)

- Too Much: 20% (554)
- Just Right: 66% (1829)
- Not Enough: 6%
- No Objection: 8%
QUESTION 14 – FAMILY FRIENDLY

The picnic grove, flexible lawns, visitor center (at education facility), restrooms, informal play walk, plus expanded Recreation Center in the preferred plan provide the right amount of spaces for all ages.

CW #4 Questionnaire results

paper (at meeting - 229)

- TOO MUCH 38% (75)
- JUST RIGHT 52% (103)
- NOT ENOUGH 5%
- NO OBJECTION 6%

online (2,737)

- TOO MUCH 30% (780)
- JUST RIGHT 56% (1437)
- NOT ENOUGH 5%
- NO OBJECTION 9%

combined results (2,966)

- TOO MUCH 31% (855)
- JUST RIGHT 55% (1540)
- NOT ENOUGH 5%
- NO OBJECTION 9%
QUESTION 15 – EDUCATION

The single education facility with indoor / outdoor classroom spaces plus the shade structures and observations platforms which can serve as outdoor classrooms included in the preferred plan are the right amount of education facilities for the park.

**paper (at meeting - 229)**

- TOO MUCH 59% (118)
- JUST RIGHT 33% (67)
- NOT ENOUGH 1%
- NO OBJECTION 6%

---

**online (2,737)**

- TOO MUCH 36% (930)
- JUST RIGHT 47% (1216)
- NOT ENOUGH 4%
- NO OBJECTION 14%

---

**combined results (2,966)**

- TOO MUCH 38% (1048)
- JUST RIGHT 46% (1283)
- NOT ENOUGH 3%
- NO OBJECTION 13%
QUESTION 16 – COMMUNITY GATHERING The generous, flexible lawns (which can be used for occasional large events), variety of seating areas throughout the park, and centrally located education facility in the preferred plan are an appropriate combination of places to meet and connect with friends and neighbors.
QUESTION 17 – WATER ACCESS

With the sloped lawn, seating terraces, and small walkways to observation platforms and floating dock, the preferred plan offers the right amount and variety of opportunities to enjoy the water.

**Paper (at meeting - 229)**

- Too Much: 30% (60)
- Just Right: 53% (107)
- Not Enough: 19%
- No Objection: 4%

**Online (2,737)**

- Too Much: 22% (570)
- Just Right: 51% (1306)
- Not Enough: 19%
- No Objection: 8%

**Combined results (2,966)**

- Too Much: 23% (630)
- Just Right: 51% (1413)
- Not Enough: 19%
- No Objection: 8%
SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #7

CW #4 Questionnaire results

combined results (2,966)

02 Wellness
- 19.91% (554)
- 6.00% (167)
- 8.37% (233)

01 Enjoying Nature
- 83.8% (543)
- 8.17% (227)
- 8.06% (224)

05 Community Gathering
- 27.08% (753)
- 5.65% (157)
- 9.13% (254)

03 Family Friendly
- 55.38% (1540)
- 5.14% (143)
- 8.74% (243)

05 Water Access
- 22.63% (630)
- 18.68% (520)
- 7.94% (221)

04 Education
- 37.66% (1048)
- 3.20% (89)
- 13.04% (363)
“No one is listening to us”
master plan schedule

- Task I: Project Initiation
- Task II: Research and Analysis
- Task III: Community Outreach and Public Participation
- Task IV: Conceptual Design Options and Final Master Plan Design
- Task V: Draft Master Plan Document
- Task VI: Final Master Plan Document
- Task VII: Two Grant Applications

- Task Completion
- Large Community Meeting
- Pop-Up
- Stakeholder Working Group Meeting
SLRCMP: Stakeholder Working Group Meeting #7

master plan schedule

**FOR STAKEHOLDER WORKING GROUP PURPOSES ONLY**
master plan schedule

Open Reservoir Walk
Saturday, May 30
9am – 3pm (TBD)

Celebration in the Meadow:
  • stations on topic areas
    (water, habitat, phasing, etc)

Reservoir Walk
  • stations at rendering views
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Executive Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Project Overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Park Resiliency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Park Operations, Maintenance &amp; Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Park Economics and O&amp;M Funding Strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Appendices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Executive Summary
   a. Master Plan Scope of Work
   b. Vision and Goals
   c. Master Plan Overview
   d. Community-Based Planning Process
   e. Park Phasing & Estimated Cost
   f. Park Economics
   g. Near Term Action Items

2. Project Overview
   a. Project History
   b. Master Plan Purpose
   c. Project Goals

3. Analysis
   a. Silver Lake History & Cultural Context
   b. Existing Site Conditions
   c. Biological Resources
   d. Water Resources
   e. Recreation Needs Assessment
   f. Traffic
   g. Geotechnical
   h. Viewshed

4. Process
   a. Overview
   b. Stakeholder Working Group Meetings
   c. Public Meetings
   d. City Coordination Meetings
   e. Park Activities & Amenities
   f. Design Alternatives

5. Master Plan
   a. Master Plan Overview
   b. Park Features
   c. Buildings and Structures
   d. Park-Wide Systems
      i. Planting & Gardens
      ii. Circulation
      iii. Lighting

6. Park Resiliency
   a. Habitat Enhancement & Expansion
   b. Water Quality & Replenishment
   c. Education and Interpretation

7. Park Operations, Maintenance & Governance
   a. Overview of Project Scope & Construction Phasing
   b. Estimated Park Operating Budgets
   c. Capital Replacement Costs

8. Park Economics and O&M Funding Strategies
   a. Construction Costs Funding Strategy Overview
   b. Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Funding Strategy Overview
   c. Earned Income
   d. Philanthropy & Grants
   e. Public Support

9. Appendices
   a. Assumptions
   b. Research & Analysis Reports
   c. Community Workshop Reports
cultural heritage commission

Presented project Jan 16, 2020

Formed CHC subcommittee

- Met Feb 12, 2020
- General support of MP design
- Some concern about habitat islands
- Scheduling next meeting
website updates

After SWG Meeting (targeting Mar 13)

- CW#4 “What We Heard”
- SWG meeting minutes

FAQ Update?

- Perimeter Fence
- Other topics?