

# APPENDIX A

## ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING CHECKLIST

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<b>1. AESTHETICS</b> – Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section A1 of the IS/MND. <i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections A.1 and A.2); Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan.</i>				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section A2 of the IS/MND. <i>Reference: California Scenic Highway Mapping System, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections A.1 and A.2); City of Los Angeles General Plan; Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; California Department of Transportation, California Scenic Highway Mapping System website (<a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/scenic_highways/index.htm">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/scenic_highways/index.htm</a>)</i>				
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section A3 of the IS/MND. <i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections A.1 and A.2)</i>				
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section A4 of the IS/MND. <i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section A.4)</i>				
<b>2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES</b> – Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No prime or unique farmland, or farmland of statewide importance exists within the project area or vicinity. The project site is not located on or near any property zoned or otherwise intended for agricultural uses.				
<i>Reference: California State Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program website (<a href="http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/FMMP/Pages/Index.aspx">http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/FMMP/Pages/Index.aspx</a>); City of Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element; Zone Information &amp; Map Access System (ZIMAS).</i>				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No land on or near the project site is zoned for or contains agricultural uses. Additionally, the				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
City of Los Angeles does not participate in the Williamson Act.				
<i>Reference: California State Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program website (<a href="http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/FMMP/Pages/Index.aspx">http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/FMMP/Pages/Index.aspx</a>); City of Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element, Zone Information &amp; Map Access System (ZIMAS).</i>				
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) or timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 4526)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project site is zoned Open Space and supports recreational uses. No forest land or timberland exists within or surrounding the project area.				
<i>References: City of Los Angeles General Plan.</i>				
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No forest land exists at the project site or the surrounding area.				
<i>References: City of Los Angeles General Plan.</i>				
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No farmland exists at the project site or in the vicinity of the project.				
<i>Reference: California State Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program website (<a href="http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/FMMP/Pages/Index.aspx">http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/FMMP/Pages/Index.aspx</a>); City of Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element; Zone Information &amp; Map Access System (ZIMAS).</i>				
<b>3. AIR QUALITY – Would the project:</b>				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section C1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections B1 and B2); Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; South Coast Air Quality Management District, Final 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, June 2007.</i>				
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section C2 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections B1 and B2); California Air Resources Board, 2011 State Area Designations; SCAQMD, California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod, Version 2011.1.1), 2007; SCAQMD, CEQA Air Quality Handbook, 1993 (as amended March 2011); SCAQMD, Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology, 2008; SCAQMD, Mass Rate LST Look-up Tables, 2009; SCAQMD, Fact Sheet for Applying CalEEMod to LST, 2011.</i>				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section C3 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections B1 and B2); CARB, 2011 State Area Designations; SCAQMD, California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod, Version 2011.1.1), 2007; SCAQMD, CEQA Air Quality Handbook, 1993 (as amended March 2011); SCAQMD, Cumulative Impact Analysis Requirements, 2003.				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section C4 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections B1, B2, and B3).				
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section C5 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections B1 and B2).				
<b>4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – Would the project:</b>				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section D1 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) Rare Finds Database, searched August 2012; City of Los Angeles General Plan; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section C); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Program; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Critical Habitat Database ( <a href="http://crithab.fws.gov/">http://crithab.fws.gov/</a> ); City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks Griffith Park Wildlife Management Plan; Friends of the Los Angeles River State of the River 2: The Fish Study; City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks Griffith Park Bat Survey.				
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section D2 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: See 4 (a) above; California Riparian Habitat Restoration Handbook, Second Edition.				
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
Comment: See the discussion in Section D3 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: CNDDDB, City of Los Angeles General Plan; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section C); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Program; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Critical Habitat Database ( <a href="http://crithab.fws.gov/">http://crithab.fws.gov/</a> ).				
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section D4 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section C); Los Angeles County Draft General Plan – Figure 6.3 Significant Ecological Areas.				
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section D5 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section C); Recreation & Parks' Urban Forest Program; County of Los Angeles Draft General Plan, Chapter 6: Conservation and Open Space Element.				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The proposed project does not occur within or immediately adjacent to any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or approved conservation plan area.				
Reference: CNDDDB, City of Los Angeles General Plan, City of Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section C), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Program; County of Los Angeles Draft General Plan Figure 6.3 Significant Ecological Areas.				
<b>5. CULTURAL RESOURCES</b> – Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section E1 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section D.3); City of Los Angeles Cultural Heritage Commission Historic-Cultural Monuments (HCM) Listing; Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; City of Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element, Zone Information & Map Access System (ZIMAS); Cultural Heritage Commission, Historic-Cultural Monument Application and Findings for Griffith Park.				
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section E2 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section D.3); Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; South Central Coastal Information Center, Cultural Resources Records Search Quick Check (July 26, 2012).</i></p>				
<p>c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section E3 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section D.1), Standard Specification for Public Works Construction.</i></p>				
<p>d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section E4 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: Standard Specification for Public Works Construction, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section D.2)</i></p>				
<p><b>6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS</b> – Would the project:</p>				
<p>expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</p>				
<p>i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Zone.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: California Department of Conservation Publication 42; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E.1); Leighton Consulting, Inc., Geotechnical Exploration Report, May 1, 2012.</i></p>				
<p>ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section F1 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: California Department of Conservation Publication 42; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E.1); Leighton Consulting, Inc., Geotechnical Exploration Report, May 1, 2012.</i></p>				
<p>iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section F2 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: California Department of Conservation Publication 42; Los Angeles, California; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E.1), General Plan Safety Element; Leighton Consulting, Inc., Geotechnical Exploration Report, May 1, 2012.</i></p>				
<p>iv) Landslides?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<p>Comment: The project site is located in a flat area and would not be subject to landslides.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan (Landslide Inventory and Hillside Areas in the City of Los Angeles Map); California Department of Conservation Publication 42; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E.1).</i></p>				
<p>b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section F3 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E.2).</i></p>				
<p>c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section F4 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section C1); Los Angeles General Plan (Landslide Inventory and Hillside Areas in the City of Los Angeles Map); Leighton Consulting, Inc., Geotechnical Exploration Report, May 1, 2012.</i></p>				
<p>d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section F5 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: Uniform Building Code; Leighton Consulting, Inc., Geotechnical Exploration Report, May 1, 2012.</i></p>				
<p>e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: No septic tank systems are proposed as part of the project.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: None applicable.</i></p>				
<p><b>7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS</b> – Would the project:</p>				
<p>a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section G1 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Threshold, October 2008; CAPCOA, CEQA &amp; Climate Change, January 2008.</i></p>				
<p>b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
gases?				
Comment: See the discussion in Section G2 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: California Air Resources Board, The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB32), 2006; City of Los Angeles, Green LA -- An Action Plan to Lead the Nation in Fighting Global Warming, 2007; City of Los Angeles, Climate LA – Municipal Program Implementing the Green LA Climate Action Plan, 2008.				
<b>8. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</b> – Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section H1 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: DTSC EnviroStor Data Management System ( <a href="http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public">http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public</a> ), accessed August 8, 2012; SWRCB LUST and UST listings on Geotracker ( <a href="http://geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov">http://geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov</a> ).				
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section H1 in the IS/MND				
Reference: DTSC EnviroStor Data Management System ( <a href="http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public">http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public</a> ), accessed July 2012; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections F.1 and F.2); SWRCB LUST and UST listings on Geotracker ( <a href="http://geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov">http://geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov</a> ).				
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section H2 in the IS/MND.				
Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section F.2).				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The site is not listed as a hazardous materials site.				
Reference: DTSC EnviroStor Data Management System ( <a href="http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public">http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public</a> ); L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section F.2); SWRCB's GeoTracker, and USEPA EnviroMapper.				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan area.				
Reference: General Plan, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section F.1); Northeast Los Angeles Community				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<i>Plan; The Thomas Guide, Los Angeles County Street Guide (2010).</i>				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section F.1); Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; The Thomas Guide, Los Angeles County Street Guide (2010).</i>				
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section H3 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section F.1); City of Los Angeles General Plan.</i>				
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project site is not located in an area potential subject to wildland fires.				
<i>Reference: Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; Planning Department Parcel Profile Report; NavigateLA.</i>				
<b>9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY – Would the project:</b>				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section I1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section G.2); Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacterial TMDLs Coordinated Shoreline Monitoring Plan (2004); Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Summary Water Quality Data - Storm Water Quality Data Tables (1994-2000). Accessed August 2009. <a href="http://ladpw.org/wmd/npdes/9400_wq_tbl/Table_4-12.pdf">http://ladpw.org/wmd/npdes/9400_wq_tbl/Table_4-12.pdf</a>; Schueler, T. 1987. Controlling Urban Runoff, A Practical Manual for Planning and Designing Urban BMPs, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments; Watershed Assessment, Tracking &amp; Environmental Results (WATERS). USEPA, Accessed August 2012. <a href="http://www.epa.gov/waters/ir/index.html">http://www.epa.gov/waters/ir/index.html</a>.</i>				
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project would not require the use of groundwater or interfere with groundwater recharge.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections G.2 and G.3).</i>				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section I2 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections G.1 and G2); Atwater Bridge Hydraulics and Water Surface Assessments Report, Fuscoe Engineering, Inc., April 2012.</p>				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section I2 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section G.1); Atwater Bridge Hydraulics and Water Surface Assessments Report, Fuscoe Engineering, Inc., April 2012.</p>				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: Construction and operation of this proposed project would not generate new runoff.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section G.2).</p>				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section I1 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section G.1); Atwater Bridge Hydraulics and Water Surface Assessments Report, Fuscoe Engineering, Inc., April 2012.</p>				
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: No housing would be constructed as part of the proposed project.</p>				
<p>Reference: FIRM FEMA Panel No 06037 1566 F; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections G.1 to G.3).</p>				
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section I3 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p>Reference: FIRM FEMA Panel No. 06037 1566 F; L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections G.1 &amp; G.3).</p>				
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section I2 in the IS/MND.</p>				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections E.1 &amp; G.3).</i>				
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section I4 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element, LA CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E.1).</i>				
<b>10. LAND USE AND PLANNING</b> – Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project would connect, rather than divide, established communities.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan; Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; LA CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section H.2).</i>				
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section J1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan, LA CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections H.1 &amp; H.2); City of Los Angeles General Plan; Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; Zone Information &amp; Map Access System (ZIMAS).</i>				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section J2 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan, LA CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections H.1 &amp; H.2); Los Angeles County Draft General Plan, Figure 6.3 Significant Ecological Areas.</i>				
<b>11. MINERAL RESOURCES</b> – Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No mineral resources would be affected by this project.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section E4).</i>				
<b>12. NOISE</b> – Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section L1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: LAMC (Chapter IV, Article 1, Section 41.40; Chapter XI, Article 2, Section 112.05); L.A. CEQA</i>				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<i>Thresholds Guide (Section I); Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Roadway Construction noise Model User's Guide (2006), EPA Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety (1974).</i>				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section L2 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section I), Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment (2006); California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Transportation and Construction Induced Vibration Manual (2004).</i>				
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No new noise sources would be generated by the permanent use of the new multi-modal bridge.				
<i>Reference: Draft L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (I.2).</i>				
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section L1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (2006); EPA Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety (1974); FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model User's Guide (2006).</i>				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project site is not located within two miles of an airport or within an airport land use plan.				
<i>Reference: Los Angeles County Airport Land Use Commission, Burbank/Glendale/Pasadena Airport, Airport Influence Area Map.</i>				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.				
<i>Reference: The Thomas Guide, Los Angeles County Street Guide, 2007; Google Earth, 2012.</i>				
<b>13. POPULATION AND HOUSING – Would the project:</b>				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project would not result in population growth.				
<i>Reference: General Plan, including the Northeast Los Angeles Community Plan; L.A. CEQA Thresholds</i>				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
 PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
 APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<i>Guide (Section J.1).</i>				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No housing would be displaced by project implementation.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections J.1 and J.2).</i>				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No people would be displaced through implementation of the proposed project.				
<i>Reference: See 12(b) above.</i>				
<b>14. PUBLIC SERVICES –</b>				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
i) Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section N1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section K.2).</i>				
ii) Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section N2 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element, L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section K.1).</i>				
iii) Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project would not generate growth such that schools would be affected.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section K.3).</i>				
iv) Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section N3 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section K.4).</i>				
v) Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project would not generate growth such that other public services would be affected.				
<i>Reference: None applicable.</i>				
<b>15. RECREATION –</b>				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The proposed project may result in an increase in the number of people who use the bike and equestrian trails along the River; however, not to a level that would result in the substantial physical deterioration trails or require the construction or expansion of new recreational facilities.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section K.4).</i></p>				
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The proposed project may result in an increase in the number of people who use the bike and equestrian trails along the River; however, not to a level that would result in the substantial physical deterioration trails or require the construction or expansion of new recreational facilities.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section K.4).</i></p>				
<p><b>16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC – Would the project:</b></p>				
a) Exceed the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designated in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section P1 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L); Traffic and Transportation Impact Analysis for the Proposed North Atwater Non-Motorized Bridge Project by Minagar &amp; Associates, Inc.</i></p>				
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section P2 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L).</i></p>				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project would not affect air traffic patterns.</p>				
<p><i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L); Traffic and Transportation Impact Analysis for the Proposed North Atwater Non-Motorized Bridge Project by Minagar &amp; Associates, Inc.</i></p>				
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<p>Comment: The project would not introduce new design features that could pose a hazard to vehicles.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L.5).</p>				
<p>e) Result in inadequate emergency access?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project would not impede emergency access for vehicles.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L.5 and L.8).</p>				
<p>f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: See the discussion in Section P3 in the IS/MND.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L); Traffic and Transportation Impact Analysis for the Proposed North Atwater Non-Motorized Bridge Project by Minagar &amp; Associates, Inc.</p>				
<p>g) Result in inadequate parking capacity?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: No parking would be displaced, and no parking would be required as part of the proposed project.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section L).</p>				
<p><b>17. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS – Would the project:</b></p>				
<p>a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project would not generate wastewater.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section M.2).</p>				
<p>b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project would not require the use of water or generate wastewater such that new facilities would be required.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Sections M.1 and M.2).</p>				
<p>c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project would not generate new storm water.</p>				
<p>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section M.2).</p>				
<p>d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comment: The project would not require the use of water supplies.</p>				

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
APPENDIX A

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section M.1).</i>				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The project would not generate wastewater.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section M.2).</i>				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section Q1 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section M.3); California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (2010), Solid Waste Information System (<a href="http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/SWFacilities/Directory/">http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/SWFacilities/Directory/</a>); City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Integrated Resources Plan (<a href="http://www.zerowaste.lacity.org">http://www.zerowaste.lacity.org</a>) and Bureau of Sanitation (<a href="http://www.lacitysan.org/solid_resources/recycling/">http://www.lacitysan.org/solid_resources/recycling/</a>); California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939).</i>				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section Q2 in the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide (Section M.3).</i>				
<b>18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE</b>				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: See the discussion in Section R of the IS/MND.				
<i>Reference: Preceding analyses.</i>				
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment:				
<i>Reference: Preceding analyses.</i>				
c) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NORTH ATWATER CROSSING INITIAL STUDY  
 PUBLIC WORKS – BUREAU OF ENGINEERING  
 APPENDIX A

<b>Issues</b>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant w/ Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?				
Comment:				
<i>Reference: Preceding analyses.</i>				
d) Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment:				
<i>Reference: Preceding analyses.</i>				